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ABSTRACT
Using evolutionary algorithms to generate a diverse set of solutions where all of them meet a given quality criteria has gained increasing interest in recent years. In order to gain theoretical insights on the working principle of population-based evolutionary algorithms for this kind of diversity optimization a first runtime analysis has been presented by Gao and Neumann [1] on the example problems OneMax and LeadingOnes. We continue this line of research and examine the diversity optimization process of population-based evolutionary algorithms on complete bipartite graphs for the classical vertex cover problem.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Evolutionary algorithms (EAs) are widely used for complex problems in various areas such as combinatorial optimization, bioinformatics, and engineering. EAs work with a set of solutions called the population which is evolved during the optimization process. The use of diversity may prevent the algorithms from getting stuck in an optimal solution and set the basis for successful crossover operators. While a population is often used in the context of single-objective optimization to obtain a single high quality solution, the use of a population has the opportunity to produce a diverse set of multiple solutions which are all of good quality. In this way, a decision maker gets presented several solutions which he can choose from in contrast to just a single one.

We want to study the diversity optimization process of evolutionary algorithms from a theoretical perspective. The first rigorous runtime analysis of maximizing diversity in the decision space has been presented in [1]. In this paper, a variant of the classical $(\mu + 1)$-EA incorporating a mechanism to maximize diversity in the case that solutions meet a given quality threshold has been analyzed. We push the runtime analysis in this context and study special classes of the vertex cover problem. For the vertex cover problem there exist several algorithms that give a 2-approximation of an optimal solution [1][3]. It is possible to determine the number of existing 2-approximation solutions for the graph under investigation, therefore, we restrict ourselves to classes of graphs that have many solutions that are 2-approximations and present runtime results for the $(\mu + 1)$-EA incorporating diversity maximization for complete bipartite graphs.

2. BACKGROUND
Before discussing the population diversity, we introduce some definitions about diversity in vertex cover problems used in this paper. We consider the vertex cover problem throughout the paper which is given by an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$. The goal is to find a minimum set of nodes $V' \subseteq V$ such that each edge is covered, i.e. for each $e \in E$, $e \cap V' \neq \emptyset$. For our investigations, we assume that the considered algorithms start with a population where each individual is already of desired quality. Our goal is to analyze the runtime until the evolutionary algorithms have obtained a population of good or optimal diversity where all individuals meet the quality criterion.

The solution to the vertex cover problem is represented as binary string, where each 1-bit denotes the existence of corresponding node in a cover set, therefore, we use Hamming distance $H(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i - y_i|$, where $x_i, y_i \in \{0, 1\}^n$, to evaluate the difference between two individuals.

According to [3][4], a diversity measurement should fulfill properties of twinning, monotonicity in varieties and monotonicity in distance. Therefore the diversity of a set of solutions $P$ is defined as follows.

**Definition 1.** For a given population $P$, the population diversity is defined as $D(P) = \sum_{(x, y) \in \hat{P} \times \hat{P}} H(x, y)$, where $\hat{P}$ is the set with all distinct solutions in $P$.

The contribution of solution $x$ is defined as $c(x) = D(P) - D(P \setminus \{x\})$, where $x \in P$.

The $(\mu+1)$-EA with solution diversity optimization is defined as $(\mu+1)$-EAQ and given in Algorithm 1 in $(\mu+1)$-EAQ, one randomly chosen individual with least contribution of diversity is eliminated from the solution set. The whole process is executed for certain number of generations or until no much improvement in diversity can be made. The initialization process is different for different problems.
We focus on the solutions which constitute a problem. We use matrix to represent the population where $\epsilon_n$ fulfills the quality criteria. Since we focus on the $\epsilon_n$-approximation to the optimal solution set, the leftmost $\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_n$-approximation cover set can also be composed of all nodes in the larger set. There are two types of possible cover sets, type $A$ and type $B$, fulfill the $2$-approximation condition, which are all nodes in set $V_2$ and all nodes in set $V_1$ together with at most $\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_n$ nodes in set $V_2$. In order to maximize the population diversity, $A$ should be included in the population, since it contributes the most to the population diversity in the left $\epsilon_n$ columns and with it the right part can still reach optimum diversity. The average number of $0$-bits in each column in the left part of matrix is at least $\frac{(\mu - 1) \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_n}{\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_n} = \frac{\mu - 1}{\epsilon_n} < 4$. Then the number of $0$-bits and $1$-bits in the right $\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_n$ columns should be equal in order to maximize the population diversity. The population with optimal diversity should have solution $A$ and other $(\mu - 1)$ solutions which have equal number of $0$-bits and $1$-bits in the right $\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_n$ columns which represents the set $V_2$. The optimum population diversity is $\frac{1}{2} (\mu (\mu - 1) - 1) \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_n \cdot \frac{\mu - 1}{\epsilon_n}$.

Define $P_{ini}$, as a population with $\mu$ individuals among which there is one solution has all nodes in set $V_2$ and at least one individual that includes all nodes in set $V_1$ and at most $\epsilon_n$ other individuals in set $V_2$.

**Theorem 1.** Let $\frac{1}{\epsilon_n} < \mu \leq (\frac{1}{\epsilon_n})^n$ and $\epsilon < 1/3$, then expected optimization time of $(\mu + 1)$-EAD on vertex cover problem for complete bipartite graph starting with $P_{ini}$ is upper bounded by $O(\mu^{3/4})$.

**Theorem 2.** Let $\epsilon = 1/3$ and $4 < \mu < (\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_n)^n$, the expected optimization time of $(\mu + 1)$-EAD on vertex cover problem for complete bipartite graph starting with $P_{ini}$ is upper bounded by $O(\mu^{3/4})$.
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