Generalisation Bounds (2): VC dimension and bounds

Qinfeng (Javen) Shi

The Australian Centre for Visual Technologies, The University of Adelaide, Australia

27 April 2012

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・

1/17

Generalisation Bounds:

- Basics
- VC dimensions and bounds (Today)
- Rademacher complexity and bounds
- PAC Bayesian Bounds
- 5 ...

For an finite hypothesis set $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, \dots, g_N\}$. we have with probability at least $1 - \delta$,

$$orall g \in \mathfrak{G}, R(g) \leq R_n(g) + \sqrt{rac{\log N + \log(rac{1}{\delta})}{2n}}$$
 $orall g \in \mathfrak{G}, R(g) \leq R(g^*) + 2\sqrt{rac{\log N + \log(rac{1}{\delta})}{2n}}$

<ロ> < 団> < 団> < 豆> < 豆> < 豆> < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < ろへで 3/17 Though there are infinite many g in \mathcal{G} , there are only two possible outputs for a x (because $g(x) \in \{-1, +1\}$). What matters is the "expressive power" (Blumer *et al.* 1986,1989)(*e.g.* the number of different prediction outputs), not the cardinality of \mathcal{G} . For an infinite hypothesis set, for any *n* training examples, there are at most 2^n different outputs of g(x). For any finite *n*, 2^n is finite. Problem:

$$\sqrt{rac{\log(2^n)+\log(rac{1}{\delta})}{2n}}=\sqrt{rac{1}{2}+rac{\log(rac{1}{\delta})}{2n}}$$

is too loose. We need something shrinks to zero as *n* goes to infinity.

Definition (Growth function)

The growth function (a.k.a Shatter coefficient) of \mathcal{F} with *n* points is

$$S_{\mathcal{F}}(n) = \sup_{(z_1,\cdots,z_n)} |\{(f(z_1),\cdots,f(z_n)): f \in \mathcal{F}\}|.$$

i.e. maximum number of ways that *n* points can be classified by the hypothesis set \mathcal{F} .

VC dimension (1)

Definition (VC dimension)

The VC dimension of a hypothesis set \mathcal{G} , is the largest *n* such that

$$S_{\mathfrak{G}}(n)=2^n$$
.

The growth function $S_{\mathcal{G}}(n) = 8$ for n = 3 and \mathcal{G} being the half-space shown in the image below¹.



1The image is from http://www.svms.org/vc-dimension/ => = 2000

Lemma

Let \mathfrak{G} be a set of functions with finite VC dimension h. Then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$S_{\mathfrak{g}}(n) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{h} \binom{n}{i},$$

and for all $n \ge h$,

$$S_{\mathfrak{G}}(n) \leq (rac{en}{h})^h.$$

Theorem (Growth function bound)

For any $\delta \in (0, 1)$, with probability at least $1 - \delta$, $\forall g \in \mathfrak{G}$

$$R(g) \leq R_n(g) + 2\sqrt{2rac{\log S_{eta}(2n) + \log(rac{2}{\delta})}{n}}$$

Thus for all $n \ge h$, since $S_{\mathfrak{g}}(n) \le (\frac{en}{h})^h$, we have

Theorem (VC bound)

For any $\delta \in (0, 1)$, with probability at least $1 - \delta$, $\forall g \in \mathfrak{G}$

$$R(g) \leq R_n(g) + 2\sqrt{2rac{h\lograc{2en}{h} + \log(rac{2}{\delta})}{n}}.$$

Assume $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\Phi(x) \in \mathbb{R}^D$ (Note *D* can be $+\infty$).

- linear $\langle x, w \rangle$, h = d + 1
- polynomial $(\langle x, w \rangle + 1)^p$, $h = \binom{d+p-1}{p} + 1$
- Gaussian RBF exp $\left(-\frac{\|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}'\|^2}{\sigma^2}\right), h = +\infty.$
- Margin γ, h ≤ min{D, [4R²/γ²]}, where the radius R² = maxⁿ_{i=1} ⟨Φ(x_i), Φ(x_i)⟩ (assuming data are already centered)

Proof of growth function/VC bound (1)

One way to prove it is to use Symmetrisation lemma and a variant of Hoeffding inequality.

Lemma (Symmetrisation)

For any t > 0, such that $nt^2 \ge 2$,

$$\Pr\left[\sup_{f\in\mathcal{F}}\left(\mathbb{E}_{z\sim P(z)}[f(z)] - \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}f(z_i)\right) \ge t\right]$$
$$\leq 2\Pr\left[\sup_{f\in\mathcal{F}}\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}f(z_i) - \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}f(z_i)\right) \ge \frac{t}{2}\right]$$

Here $\{z'_i\}_{i=1}^n$ are called a "ghost sample".

Proof of growth function/VC bound (2)

Theorem (Hoeffding2)

Let $Z_1, \dots, Z_n, Z'_1, \dots, Z'_n$ be 2n i.i.d. random variables with $f(Z) \in [a, b]$. Then for all $\epsilon > 0$, we have

$$\Pr\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}f(Z_i)-\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}f(Z'_i)>\epsilon\right)\leq \exp\left(-\frac{n\epsilon^2}{2(b-a)^2}\right)$$

Proof of growth function/VC bound (3)

$$\begin{aligned} &\Pr\left[\sup_{g\in\mathfrak{G}}\left(R(g)-R_n(g)\right)\geq 2\epsilon\right]\\ &\leq 2\Pr\left[\sup_{g\in\mathfrak{G}}\left(R'_n(g)-R_n(g)\right)\geq \epsilon\right]\\ &=2\Pr\left[\sup_{g\in\mathfrak{G}_{z_1,\cdots,z_n,z_1,\cdots,z'_n}}\left(R'_n(g)-R_n(g)\right)\geq \epsilon\right]\\ &\leq 2S_{\mathfrak{G}}(2n)\Pr\left[\left(R'_n(g)-R_n(g)\right)\geq \epsilon\right]\\ &\leq 2S_{\mathfrak{G}}(2n)\exp(\frac{-n\epsilon^2}{2}).\end{aligned}$$

Let $\delta = 2S_{g}(2n) \exp(\frac{-n\epsilon^{2}}{2})$, we have $\epsilon = \sqrt{2\frac{\log S_{g}(2n) + \log(\frac{2}{\delta})}{n}}$. $R(g) - R_{n}(g) \le 2\epsilon = 2\sqrt{2\frac{\log S_{g}(2n) + \log(\frac{2}{\delta})}{n}}$ with probability at least $1 - \delta$.

13/17

- VC Entropy
- Covering Number
- Rademacher complexity (will be covered in the next talk)